

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/034,827 01/03/2002		Gary P. Morrison	TI-31373	4496
7	590 05/06/2003			
Gary C. Hone			EXAM	INER
Godwin Grubb Suite 655	•		MITCHELL	, JAMES M
801 E. Campbe Richardson, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2827	
			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

TECHNOLOGY CENTER: 2800

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	A	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summer	10/034,827	MORRISON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	James Mitchell	2827
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	6(a). In no event, however, ma within the statutory minimum o ill apply and will expire SIX (6) cause the application to becom	y a reply be timely filed  thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ### MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BE ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 F	ebruary 2003 .	
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under I	nce except for formal	matters, prosecution as to the merits is
Disposition of Claims	en parto quajro, 1000	0.5. 11, 100 0.5. 210.
4) Claim(s) 2,4-10,15,17,18 and 23 is/are pending	g in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,<i>4-</i>10,15,17,18 and 23</u> is/are rejected		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		W. E
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		, ,
If approved, corrected drawings are required in repi		disapproved by the Examiner.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	priority under 35 U.S.	C & 119(a)-(d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	p, aa 00 0.0.	5. 3 · · · · (a) (a) (i).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		Application No.
Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Burn*     See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Burn*	ty documents have be eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	en received in this National Stage
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic		
a) The translation of the foreign language prov		•
15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic		
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

#### 

#### **U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-6,214,716	04-2001	Akram, Salman	438/612
	В	US-			
	С	US-			
	D	US-			
	E	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			·
	Н	US-			
	ı	US-			
	J	US-			
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	Z					
	0					
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	s					
	Т					

## **NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS**

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	٧	
	w	
	х	

\*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

Art Unit: 2827

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show an unpackaged semiconductor device as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 2-10, 12, 15, 17, 18, 20 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention: unpackaged semiconductor device.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in-

Art Unit: 2827

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 2, 4-10 and 15, 17, 18 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Inaba (JP 2001-217388).

Inaba discloses a semiconductor assembly and method of assembling comprising steps of inherently forming strip-like flexible interconnector (2) of electrically insulating polyimide material (Para. 0016) having first (top) and second (bottom) surfaces, said interconnector having on said first surface and second surfaces by inherently forming electrically copper conductive lines integral on said strip like flexible interconnector (Para.0015) for connecting a plurality of packaged semiconductor devices (item 3 surrounded by item R), forming on the first surface with an inherent first array of ports (2f; Fig 9), said interconnect further having inherent electrical paths extending through said interconnect (via first chip closest to substrate electrically connected to substrate through contacts 7) forming electrical ports (7) on said second surface, and inherently attaching a plurality of second coupling members to said array of inherent ports (via 7; Fig 2), wherein the arrays are grouped in separate areas and a first plurality of ports are spaced apart less, center to center, than a seconding plurality of ports (via spacing of item 2, compared to item 7) are spaced apart, center to center, folding said interconnector so that adjacent semiconductor devices are stacked on top of each other, ant at least one additional unpackaged semiconductor device (chip with

Art Unit: 2827

no item R) having a plurality of first electrical coupling members attached to said first plurality of ports, and a plurality of second of second electrical coupling members attached to said second plurality of ports, said coupling members suitable for attachment to other parts, said ports face in one direction while said port face in the opposite direction, at least one semiconductor device (3) having a plurality of gold coupling members (8; Para. 0024) said first coupling members attached to said entry ports (shown in Fig 9); said device is an inherent integrated circuit chip (Para. 0018) having an active and passive surface; said device encapsulated (9) with a nonconducting polymer underfilling any spaces between said coupling members attached to said ports under said device in a package with outside contact pads with said first coupling members inherently attached to said contact pads.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 2827

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 4, 12, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inaba as applied to claims 15 and 23 and further in combination with Denes (U.S 5,220,488).

Inaba does not appear to disclose passive electrical component integrated into the conductive line, however Denes utilizes disclose passive electrical components integrated into the conductive line (Fig 1, 4, 5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to integrate passive components into said conductive lines on the interconnector of Inaba, in order to provide high reliability as taught by Denes (Abstract).

Claims 5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inaba as applied to claim 15 or 23 and in further combination with Akram (US 6,214,714).

With respect to claim 5, Inaba does not appear to disclose that the ports are spaces less than 100 micrometers. In any case, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice bounded by well known manufacturing constraints and ascertainable by routine experimentation and optimization to choose these particular dimensions because applicant has not disclosed that the dimensions are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical, and it appears prima facie that the process would possess utility using another dimension. Indeed, it has been held that mere dimensional limitations are prima facie obvious absent a disclosure

Art Unit: 2827

that the limitations are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical. See, for example, In re Rose, 220 F.2d 459, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955); In re Rinehart, 531 F.2d 1048, 189 USPQ 143 (CCPA 1976); Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc., 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984); In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

With respect to claims 8, Inaba further discloses inherent solder balls (via conductive bump members in the shape of a ball), but does not appear to disclose that the solder balls are formed from tin, tin/alloys, tin/ silver, tin/lead etc.

However, since applicant did not traverse that tin lead alloy is well known in the art, it is accepted and any further argument to that affect is waived. In addition, Akram is provided solely to further evidence examiner's official notice in the prior office action that tin lead alloy is well known for providing a conductive connection and that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art form a solder ball from lead/tin at the time the present invention was made, in order to provide a ball that was conductive. Further the solder ball materials would have been obvious, since it has been held that to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select known material on the basis of its suitability for intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416 (1960).

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 2827

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Mitchell whose telephone number is (703) 305-0244. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:30-8:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Talbott can be reached on (703) 305-9883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3432 for regular communications and (703) 305-3230 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Art Unit: 2827

jmm / Way 4, 2003

Page 8

What The The state of the state

DAVID L. TALBOTT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800 Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box -

2 8 2003

+

PTO/SB/08A (08-00) Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Substitute for Form 1449A/PTO

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

(use as many sheets as necessary)

Complete If Known

Application Number 10/034,827

Filing Date 91/03/2002

First Named Inventor Gary P. Morrison, et al.

Group Art Unit 2827

Examiner Name James Mitchell

Attorney Docket No. 71-

	T	II C Doton	Document	U.S. PAT	ENT DOCUMENTS	
Exam. Initials	Cite No.	Number	Kind Code <sup>2</sup> (If known)	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Doc.	Date of Pub. of Cited Doc. (mm- dd-yyyy)	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevan Figures Appear
SM Im	_AA _AB	6.121.676 6.225.688 B1		Solberg	09/19/2000	
	AC			Kim, et al.	05/01/2001	
	AD					
	AE					
	_AE				<del>                                     </del>	
	AG				1	
	AH					·
	_AI _AJ					
	AK					
	AL		<del></del>			
-I	AM			<del></del>		
	AN					
	AQ.					

Exam. Initials*	Cite No.1	Foreign Patent Document		FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS  Name of Patentee Date of Pub				
		Office <sup>3</sup>	Number <sup>4</sup>	Kind Code <sup>2</sup> (if known)	or Applicant of Cited Doc.	Date of Pub. of Cited Doc. (mm-dd-yyyy)	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or	<b>⊤</b> ¢
	BA					( 55 ))))	Relevant Figures Appear	
	BB	I						
	BC							
	BD							
	BE							
	BF							
	BG			<del>  </del>				
	ВН			<del>                                     </del>				
	BI			<del>  </del>			<del>2</del> _ Or	
	_BJ			<del> </del>			<u> </u>	
	BK			<del></del>				
	BL			<del></del>			2 P	

\*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

<sup>1</sup>Unique citation designation number. <sup>2</sup>See attached Kinds of U.S. Patent Documents. <sup>3</sup>Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). <sup>4</sup>For Japanese patent documents, the Indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. <sup>5</sup>Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. <sup>6</sup>Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

Please type	a plus sign (+	inside this	box →
-------------	----------------	-------------	-------

 $\pm$ 

PTO/SB/98A (08-00)
Approved for use through 10/31/2002, OMB 0651-0031

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0851-0031

Patent and Tredemark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Tredemark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TIPE!		Boundary of 1970 Course Course
Substitute for Form 1449A/PTO		Complete If Known
/   6	Application Number	19/034,827
RB 2 8 2003 NFORMATION DISCLOSURE	Filing Date	01/03/2002
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT	First Named Inventor	Gary P. Morrison, et al.
(use as many sheets as necessary)	Group Art Unit	2827
(use as many sheets as necessary)	Examiner Name	James Mitchell
	Attorney Docket No.	71-31373

Exam.	Cite	OTHER PRIOR ART - NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS	
initials*	No.1	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), yolume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	
	-CA	numbers, prominer, city and/or country where published.	Τ'
	СВ		
	CC		
	CD		
	CE		
	CF		
	CG		
	CH	,	
$-\!\!\!\!\!-\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!+$	CI		
	CI .		
	CK		
	CL		
	CM		
	CN		
	co		
	СР		
	co		
	CR		
miner			
nature Dature	1 ア	X Atthe M. Date	

\*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Unique citation designation number. <sup>2</sup>Applicant is to place a check mark here if English Translation is attached.

Organization 10 6 8 00 Bldg./Room 0. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, DC 20231
IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS

PRIVATE USE \$360

PENALTY

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

GODW801\* 750812025 1102 10 05/1/ FORWARD TIME EXP RTN TO SEND GODWIN WHITE GRUBER 1201 ELM STESTO 2041

RETURN TO SENDER